

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of any changes to the claims and the remarks herein. Please contact the undersigned to conduct a telephone interview in accordance with MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

In response to the Examiner's request, the title has been changed.

In response to the drawing rejection, Fig. 1 has been modified to include a decoupling capacitor recited in claim 5. Enclosed is a modified Fig. 1 showing a decoupling capacitor 2 on substrate 10.

Claims 4-5, 8-9 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claim 4 has been amended to change "said protuberance" to "a protuberance" an example of which is enlargement 13 of Fig. 2. Claim 8 has been amended to depend from claim 7. As to claim 15 with first paragraph of the Detailed Description at page 5 of the Specification describes an example of the "electrical conductor patterns". Applicants do not understand the Examiner's comments on claim 15. Fig. 8 shows an example of this claim.

Claims 1-2, 6-8 and 11-14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motooka et al. which has a priority date of 9-21-1995 and therefore is not a reference to the present application which has a priority date of 4-30-1993. ✓  
Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khandros which has a priority date of 11-16-1993 and therefore is not a reference to the present application which has a priority date of 4-30-1993. ✓  
Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.

Reg. No. 32,053

Phone No. (914) 945-3217

IBM Corporation  
Intellectual Property Law Dept.  
P. O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598